IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

BOHMUELLER & HLADIK, P.C. By: Stephen M. Hladik, Esquire Attorney Identification No. 66287 1595 Sumneytown Pike P.O. Box 148 Mainland, PA 19451-0148 (215) 855-4165 Attorney for Plaintiff

INTEGRITY, INC. 45 John Street Suite 711 New York, NY 10038

Plaintiff.

٧.

CLUB FREEDOM, INC. 700 Galen Drive State College, Pennsylvania

and

DAYTON G. SAUERMAN
700 Galen Drive
State College, Pennsylvania,
Defendants.

4: CV 03 0888

No.

FILED

MAY 2 3 2003

COMPLAINT

Integrity, Inc. ("Integrity") hereby complains against Dayton G. Sauerman ("Sauerman") and Club Freedom, Inc. ("Club Freedom"), as follows:

PARTIES

1. Integrity is a New York corporation having a principal place of business at 45 John Street, Suite 711, New York City, New York 10038.

- 2. Club Freedom is a Pennsylvania corporation, incorporated on November 19, 2001, and having a principal place of business registered at 700 Galen Drive, State College, Centre County, Pennsylvania. Club Freedom maintained a mailing address at P.O. Box 1087, State College, PA 16804.
- 3. Sauerman is an adult individual residing at 700 Galen Drive, State College, Pennsylvania. At all times relevant to this complaint, Sauerman was an officer of Club Freedom, and controlled the bank accounts of Club Freedom.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 USC § 1332 because the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs, and is between parties who are citizens of different States.
- 5. Venue in this district is proper pursuant to 28 USC § 1391(a) because jurisdiction is founded only on diversity of citizenship and the action may be brought only in a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all defendants reside in the same State.

FACTS

- 6. Neil Telafor ("Telafor"), Ginger Normoyle ("Normoyle") and Sauerman jointly operated the venture known as Club Freedom, Inc. This venture charged participants an entry fee for the right to receive compensation from additional members introduced to the club.
- 7. As a result of the entry fee charged, the Pennsylvania Office of Attorney General commenced an investigation under Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Law, 73 P.S. §201-1—201-9.2 ("UTPCPL"). To settle the matter with the

Attorney General, Telafor, Normoyle and Sauerman executed an Assurance of Voluntary Compliance ("AVC") with the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Office of Attorney General (the "Commonwealth"), which AVC was filed in the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania. The AVC contains no admission of wrong doing by any party.

- 8. Pursuant to the terms of the AVC, the parties were obligated to give written notice to all members that they were entitled to cancel their membership in Club Freedom. Consumers were also entitled to a refund of fees charged. Normoyle, Telafor and Sauerman each agreed to be responsible for one-third of refunds owed.
- 9. As part of the settlement, Club Freedom and/or Normoyle, Sauerman or Telafor were to transfer \$50,000.00 to an escrow account for the purpose of administering any direct refunds to consumers (the "Escrow Account").
- 10. Club Freedom placed approximately \$31,000.00 toward the refunds in the Escrow Account held by Attorney Thayer Lindauer, Esquire, who has administered refunds from the Escrow Account. There is no balance remaining in the Escrow Account.
- 11. An amount of \$19,000.00 must to be added to the Escrow Account to make up the deficit.
- 12. Additional members have canceled their memberships, thereby entitling them to a refund of membership sums paid. A portion of those refunds paid out have been paid from the Escrow Account
- 13. Some individuals wishing to cancel their Club Freedom memberships opted to transfer their refund credit over to Integrity at no additional charge to them, thereby obligating and binding Integrity in the future to cover payments to those individuals. To

cover those entrance fees, Integrity was to receive the refunds directly from either Club Freedom, Sauerman, Telafor or Normoyle.

- 14. Telafor, Normoyle and Sauerman were each liable for one-third of the refunds owing to consumers who canceled their memberships with Club Freedom. As of this time, the amount of refunds, including sums paid and refund credits given for transferring memberships to Integrity are believed to total \$353,000.00 (\$50,000.00 toward the escrow account and \$303,000 in refund credits transferred to Plaintiff herein).
- 15. Each of the individual parties to the AVC would be responsible for payment of one-third of the \$353,000.00 in refund credits given by Integrity.
- 16. Telafor and Normoyle have paid their shares into the Escrow Account and credited \$202,000.00 for transfers of memberships to Integrity. Integrity is therefore still owed \$101,000.00 from the remaining party to the venture, Sauerman.
- 17. Sauerman and/or Club Freedom are therefore obligated to reimburse Integrity the sum of \$101,000.00 toward those credits.

COUNT I - BREACH OF CONTRACT

- 18. Plaintiff hereby realleges and incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 17 with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.
- 19. Under the terms of the members' agreement, Defendants are responsible for payment of one-third of the \$303,000.00 in refund credits owed to Integrity, <u>viz.</u>, \$101,000.00. Normoyle and Telafor have complied with the agreement.
- 20. To date, Defendants Sauerman and/or Club Freedom, Inc. have failed to make payment the above payments.

21. Such failure to pay is a material breach of the agreement by the Defendants and is enforceable by the filing of this complaint.

WHEREFORE, for all of the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff, Integrity, Inc., respectfully requests this Honorable Court to enter judgment in its favor and against Defendants Dayton G. Sauerman and Club Freedom, Inc. in excess of \$101,000.00 as follows:

- a. Judgment against the Defendants in the amount of \$101,000.00, representing the Defendants' one-third share of the \$303,000.00 in refunds issued by the Plaintiff; and
- Reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and interest, and any other such relief
 this Court deems just and proper.

COUNT I - MISREPRESENTATION

- 22. Plaintiff hereby realleges and incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 21 with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.
- 23. Under the terms of the members' agreement, Defendants are responsible for payment of one-third of the \$303,000.00 in refund credits owed to Integrity, <u>viz.</u>, \$101,000.00. Normoyle and Telafor have complied with the agreement.
- 24. Club Freedom and Sauerman represented to Telafor, Normoyle and Integrity that he would be responsible for his share of the consumer refunds owing.
- 25. To date, Defendants Sauerman and/or Club Freedom, Inc. have failed to make payment the above payments and it is apparent, that despite Sauerman's representations, he never intended to make such payments.
 - 26. Such representations constitute material misrepresentations.

27. Plaintiff has been damaged in the amount of at least \$101,000.00 by virtue of Sauerman's representations.

WHEREFORE, for all of the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff, Integrity, Inc., respectfully requests this Honorable Court to enter judgment in its favor and against Defendants Dayton G. Sauerman and Club Freedom, Inc. in excess of \$101,000.00 as follows:

- c. Judgment against the Defendants in the amount of \$101,000.00, representing the Defendants' one-third share of the \$303,000.00 in refunds issued by the Plaintiff; and
- d. Reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and interest, and any other such relief this Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

BOHMUELLER & HLADIK, P.C.

By:

Stephen M. Hladik, Esquire Attorney Identification No. 66287 1595 Sumneytown Pike

P.O. Box 148

Mainland, PA 19451-0148

(215) 855-4165

Dated:	5/.	22/	03	
	Γ			

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE SEPARATE INSTRUCTION SHEET)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	DEFENDANTS			
NITEODITY INO	CLUB FREEDOM, INC., 700 Galen Drive			
INTEGRITY, INC.	State College, PA			
45 John Street Suite 711				
New York, New York 10038	DAYTON G. SAUERMAN, 700 Galen Drive			
	State College, PA			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed New York	County of Residence of First Listed Centre			
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Stephen M. Hladik, Esquire (66287)	Attorneys (If Known)			
BOHMUELLER & HLADIK, P.C.				
1595 Sumпeytown Pike				
P.O. Box 148				
Mainland, PA 19451-0148	C OCO			
215-855-4165				
•	ITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES r Diversity Cases Only) (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)			
☐ 1 U.S. Government ☐ 3 Federal Question Citi Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)	PTF DEF PTF DEF izen of This State □ 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Principal □ 4 🗵 4 of Business In This State			
☐ 2 U.S. Government ☑ 4 Diversity Citi Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	zen of Another			
Citi	zen or Subject of a □ 3 □ 3 Foreign Nation □ 6 □ 6			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	oreign Country			
(Refer to Instruction sheet)				
Please insert Nature of Suit Code 190				
Please insert Description Other Contract - Breach of Contract				
V. ORIGIN (PLACE AN "X" IN O				
Proceeding State Court Appellate Court	nstated			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.)				
Plaintiff files this breach of contract action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. citizens of different states and the amount in controversy exceeds				
VII. REQUESTED IN ☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DO COMPLAINT: UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	EMAND \$ 101,000.00. CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes 1 No			
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See IF ANY instructions): JUDGE	DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY C	OF RECORD			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	V.V.			
RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE MAG. JUDGE			

4: CV 03 0888

Fri May 23 13:15:40 2003

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SCRONTSH

. PA

Receipt No. 333 92142 Cambier sine

Check Husber: 1137

M Code Div Ho

Sub Acct Type Tender Amou 1:510000 N 2 90.0 2:006900 N 2 60.0

Total Amount

150.00

PIKE, LANSBALE, PA 19446

HEN CASE FF/INTERRITY VS CLUB FREEDS